



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,749	11/15/2001	Maurizio Bazzo	Q67214	7450

7590 02/03/2004

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037-3202

EXAMINER

HEITBRINK, TIMOTHY W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1722

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/987,749

Applicant(s)

BAZZO ET AL.

Examiner

Tim Heitbrink

Art Unit

1722

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 4-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4 and 5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4, 7.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is unclear how a second controlling thermocouple can be claimed without a first. The Examiner suggests adding --first-- before "controlling" on line 3.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gellert et al. (US Patent 6,394,784) in view of EP 750,975.

Gellert et al. disclose an electrically heated nozzle 22 having an external surface with a first heating resistor 80 and a second independent heating resistor 90 each spirally wound around the external surface of the nozzle to be conventional. The second resistor providing redundancy for operational use when the first resistor fails. See column 9, lines 23-48. However, Gellert et al. do not position the resistors in a groove located around the periphery of the nozzle.

EP 750,975 teaches an electrically heated nozzle in the same field of endeavor having a heating resistor 40 located in a spiral-shaped groove positioned around the periphery of the nozzle in order to heat the nozzle.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate the resistors of Gellert et al. in a spiral groove as suggested by EP 750975 in order to heat the nozzle.

Positioning the resistors in a side by side condition in the nozzle of Gellert et al. (as modified by EP 750975) would have been obvious since Gellert et al. shows the resistors 80 and 90 positioned in a side by side arrangement to be conventional. See also In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70 where a shift in location of parts when operation of a device is not otherwise modified is considered within the skill of the ordinary artisan.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 750,975 in view of Gellert et al. (US Patent 6,394,784).

EP 750,975 teaches an electrically heated nozzle having heating resistor 40 located in a spiral groove in order to heat the nozzle at a suitable operating temperature. However, EP 750,975 does not disclose an additional resistive heater to provide redundancy for operational use when the first resistor fails.

Gellert et al. disclose an electrically heated nozzle 22 having an external surface with a first heating resistor 80 and a second independent heating resistor 90 each spirally wound around the external surface of the nozzle to be conventional. The second resistor providing redundancy for operational use when the first resistor fails. See column 9, lines 23-48.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made provide an additional heating resistor in a spiral groove in the nozzle of EP 750,975 in order to provide redundancy for operational use when the first resistor fails as suggested by Gellert et al.

Positioning the resistors in a side by side condition in the nozzle of EP 750,975 would have been obvious since Gellert et al. shows the resistors 80 and 90 positioned in a side by side arrangement to be conventional. See also In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70 where a shift in location of parts when operation of a device is not otherwise modified is considered within the skill of the ordinary artisan.

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claim 1 defines over the prior art since the prior art fails to disclose or suggest first and second resistors each connected to a corresponding thermocouple as set forth in the claim.

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 6 defines over the prior art since the prior art fails to disclose or suggest first and second resistors each connected to a corresponding thermocouple as set forth in the claim.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4 and 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Heitbrink whose telephone number is 571-272-1132. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 5:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-0987.



Tim Heitbrink
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1722

twh

1-23-04